



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/675,069	09/28/2000	Kenneth W. Batcher	72255/02663	2842
23380 75	590 04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
TUCKER, EL	LIS & WEST LLP		LIPMAN, JACOB	
1150 HUNTIN 925 EUCLID A	GTON BUILDING		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	OH 44115-1475		2134	۸ -
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			124
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/675,069	BATCHER, KENNETH	W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacob Lipman	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thireriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Af	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 September 2000.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	ition.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. Maria di sala anti-		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	* '		04(4)
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
·	e Examiner. Note the attache		· <u> </u>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)	🗂 .	, (DTC 110)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/675,069

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The blanks on page 13, lines 21 and 22, must be replaced by the application number and date.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 9-12, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 9, 11, and 17 recite the limitation "said decryption operation". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.
- 5. Claim 19 recites the limitation "said encryption operation". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,069

Art Unit: 2134

7. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Newton in Encyclopedia of Cryptology.

With regard to claims 1-24, Newton discloses loading into memory a plurality of keys simultaneously to decrypting the previous data frame (page 223, section labeled "priming key"). Newton discloses using the previously decrypted letter as a key to decrypt the next letter, thus the key is loaded while decrypting the previous data frame (letter). Newton does not disclose using this method on a computer system. The examiner takes official notice that encryption algorithms are commonly used on computer systems to speed up the encryption of large amounts of data. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement Newton's algorithm on a computer to speed up the encryption of large amounts of data.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5 M-Th, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,069

Art Unit: 2134

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

SUPERV

TEC:

MINER 00